The University of Manchester Jodrell Bank Observatory







C-BASS



AKWAZULU-NATALI



Paddy Leahy University of Manchester For the C-BASS collaboration

Abstract

- High latitude (b > 30°) synchrotron emission away from the prominent spurs and loops is weakly polarized, implying strong "tangled" component.
- In the same regions, the polarization vectors are remarkably well-organized, showing little small-scale structure not due to noise, implying weak "tangled" component.
- Illustrated by preliminary C-BASS data
 - Contains residual systematics
 - Similar points could be made from earlier surveys right back to Brouw & Spoelstra (1976)

C-BASS

- Map the whole sky at 5 GHz (C-band) in (I,Q,U)
- Galactic Synchrotron, freefree, AME emission
- Galactic Magnetic field
- CMB Foreground modelling
 & subtraction
- Northern survey: 28 Nov 2012 - 3 April 2015
- Just under 1 year of data collected
- Clear detection of polarized flux in nearly every beam, as required.
- South: Survey starting July 2019





North – DONE!

South – GREMLINS!

- Northern survey covers sky from pole to $\delta = -15^{\circ}$
 - Expect publication this year
 - Night-time only data
 - Improved maps with all-sky release

Absolute Zero Level

- C-BASS continuously compares observed total intensity to internal cold load
 - suppresses 1/f noise
 - Not accurate enough to set absolute zero level
 - Data zero level is discarded by the destriping map-maker, DESCART (Sutton et al 2010)
- Instead, set zero level using ARCADE2 absolute measurements (Fixsen et al. 2011)
 - 6 channels from 3 to 10.5 GHz
 - Interpolate to C-BASS centre frequency of 4.78 GHz.



- Offsets between channels are systematic and much larger than the noise.
- 8.0 GHz channel high by 22 mK
- Used 3 channels with most consistent offsets

ARCADE2 Model







- Fitted spectral index is noisy $(-5.7 > \beta > -1.6)$
- $\langle \beta \rangle = -2.22$
- Comparing different combinations of ARCADE data, zero level at 4.8 GHz determined to ±5mK.





0.0094 0.20 K

Tuesday, June 11, 2019







Planck/WMAP 28 GHz polarization



Planck 2015 Results XXV

Tuesday, June 11, 2019

Orientation



- 1° resolution = structure at 2% of scale height (≤ 50 pc)
- I expected this to resolve at least outer scale of turbulent structure in the halo.
- 3D extinction map from Lallement et al (2019)

North Galactic Pole projection





North Galactic Pole projection





Low fractional polarization

- Median polarization in our high-latitude zone is 4.3%
- Nearly all pixels < 10%
- Strong contrast with polarization in the Spurs, and Fan region (up to 30%)
- Is there an extra unpolarized background?



Failure of slab model

- Plane-parallel galactic disk implies brightness T ∝ csc b
- Reasonable fit to distribution of Pop I layer (HI, dust, CII)
- Shklovsky (1952): terrible fit to Galactic syncrotron emission.
- Actual excess of emission near Galactic poles
- Minimum synchrotron brightness near $b \approx 30^{\circ}$



- ARCADE interprets sky as slab

 isotropic background, but
 "ARCADE" (Shklovsky) excess is not isotropic!
- Local structure...where?

Conclusions

- High latitude polarization is weak but ordered
- Order needs better quantification... structure function.
 - Work in progress (parallel transport!)
- Interpretations:
 - Large scale difference between ordered and turbulent field. Turbulence outer scale < 2% of scale height.
 - 2. Two components, one nearly unpolarized
 - E.g. emission from synchrotron shell around local bubble?
 - Two components with nearly-orthogonal polarization (Sun & Reich disk vs halo?)

